

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/590,027	06/07/2000	Steven R. Kleiman	103.1037.01	8740	
22883 7	08/18/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
SWERNOFSKY LAW GROUP PC P.O. BOX 390013			NGUYEN, CHAU T		
	VIEW, CA 94039-0013		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2176		
			DATE MAILED: 08/18/2003	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 N (a). In no event, however, may a vithin the statutory minimum of thin	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Chau Nguyen ars on the cover sheet w IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 N (a). In no event, however, may a within the statutory minimum of this apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI ause the application to become Al	2176 With the correspondence address MONTH(S) FROM reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 N (a). In no event, however, may a within the statutory minimum of this apply and will expire SIX (6) MOs ause the application to become Al	rith the correspondence address MONTH(S) FROM reply be timely filed rity (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 N (a). In no event, however, may a vithin the statutory minimum of thin apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI ause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
(a). In no event, however, may a vithin the statutory minimum of thin apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI ause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
<u>ne 2005</u> .	·
action is non-final.	
•	ters, prosecution as to the merits is
parte Quayle,-1935 C.E). 11, 453 O.G. 213.
n from consideration.	
oted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.
awing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). d Office Action or form PTO-152.
have been received. have been received in A y documents have beer (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage
	ce except for formal mate parte Quayle, 1935 C.E. application. In from consideration. election requirement. pted or b) objected to rawing(s) be held in abeyan is required if the drawing aminer. Note the attache priority under 35 U.S.C. is have been received. have been received in A

Art Unit: 2176

DETAILED ACTION

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 06/06/2005 has been entered. Claims 1-8, 10 and 12-26 are presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-8 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goldrian et al. (Goldrian), U.S. Patent No. 6,026,448, and further in view of Massa et al. (Massa), US. Patent No. 6,658,469.

4. As to independent claim 1, Goldrian discloses a method of sending sending data between a client and a server using at least one of plural data buffer both in said client and in said server (Abstract and col. 2, line 58 – col. 3, line 25 and col. 11, lines 23-47: a message request is transferred from the request area of the originator buffer (client buffer) to the request area of the recipient buffer (server buffer)).

Page 3

However, Goldrian does not explicitly disclose plural data buffers of different sizes in said client and at least one of plural data buffers of different sizes in said server, and selecting a send data buffer and a receive data buffer from among the plural data buffers in said client and the plural data buffers in said server, said send data buffer and said receive data buffer matched to a size of data blocks to be transferred into or out of those data buffers and then transferring said data. In the same field of endeavor, Massa discloses a data transfer between two applications or devices (each is considered as a client and the other is a server) (Abstract, col. 11, lines 10-20 and Fig. 5). In addition, Massa discloses each application's set of receiving buffers may also be large or small (plural data buffers of different sizes in the client and the server) (col. 11, lines 31-53). Also, Massa discloses the remote switch 126 of the server transfers an amount of data equal to the size of the receiving buffer 134 (client's buffer) from the transmission buffer 138 (server's buffer) into the set of receiving buffers 134 (col. 12, lines 42-59). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Massa and Goldrian to include plural data buffers of different sizes in said client and at least one of plural data buffers of different sizes in said server, and selecting a send data buffer and a receive data

buffer from among the plural data buffers in said client and the plural data buffers in said server, said send data buffer and said receive data buffer matched to a size of data blocks to be transferred into or out of those data buffers and then transferring said data. The motivation for doing so is to provide higher performance and to maximize the communication bandwidth and minimize the communication latency observed by the communicating applications.

5. As to dependent claim 2, Goldrian and Massa disclose wherein a request or a response for transferring said data transfer includes at least some control information (Massa, col. 11, lines 21-31 and col. 12, lines 13-17: the data message includes information to indicate the size of the data to be transferred); and

said steps of transferring said data are responsive to said control information (Massa, col. 11, lines 21-31: send a response to the message and the response includes the number of buffers in the set of receive buffers. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Massa and Goldrian to include a request or a response for said data transfer includes at least some control information and said steps of sending data are responsive to said control information. The motivation for doing so is to provide higher performance and to maximize the communication bandwidth and minimize the communication latency observed by the communicating applications).

- 6. As to dependent claim 3, Goldrian and Massa disclose wherein a request or a response for transferring said data includes at least one memory address (Massa, col. 12, lines 42-59: the local switch 120 of client 132 sends a message to the remote switch of server 136, and the message includes the location of the client's buffers) and said steps of sending data are responsive to said memory address, wherein said data is read from or written to a memory in response to said memory address (Massa, col. 12, lines 42-59: the remote switch of server 136 transfers an amount of data equal to the size of the client's buffer from the set of transmission buffers 138 into the set of client's buffer 134).
- 7. As to independent claim 4, Goldrian discloses a system including a client and server (Goldrian, Abstract);
- a NUMA communication link coupled to said client and server (Goldrian, col. 5, lines 12-16); and

plural data buffers both in said client and in said server for data transfers between said client and said server suing said NUMA communication link (Goldrian, Abstract and col. 2, line 58 – col. 3, line 25, col. 6, line 64 – col. 7, line 29, and col. 11, lines 23-47: a message request is transferred from the request area of the originator buffer (client buffer) to the request area of the recipient buffer (server buffer));

However, Goldrian does not explicitly disclose plural data buffers of different sizes in said client and at least one of plural data buffers of different sizes in said server, and selecting a send data buffer and a receive data buffer from among the plural data

buffers in said client and the plural data buffers in said server, said send data buffer and said receive data buffer matched to a size of data blocks to be transferred into or out of those data buffers and then transferring said data. In the same field of endeavor, Massa discloses a data transfer between two applications or devices (each is considered as a client and the other is a server) (Abstract, col. 11, lines 10-20 and Fig. 5). In addition, Massa discloses each application's set of receiving buffers may also be large or small (plural data buffers of different sizes in the client and the server) (col. 11, lines 31-53). Also, Massa discloses the remote switch 126 of the server transfers an amount of data equal to the size of the receiving buffer 134 (client's buffer) from the transmission buffer 138 (server's buffer) into the set of receiving buffers 134 (col. 12, lines 42-59). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Massa and Goldrian to include plural data buffers of different sizes in said client and at least one of plural data buffers of different sizes in said server, and selecting a send data buffer and a receive data buffer from among the plural data buffers in said client and the plural data buffers in said server, said send data buffer and said receive data buffer matched to a size of data blocks to be transferred into or out of those data buffers and then transferring said data. The motivation for doing so is to provide higher performance and to maximize the communication bandwidth and minimize the communication latency observed by the communicating applications.

As to dependent claim 5, Goldrian and Massa disclose a byte serial communication link, wherein transferring said data also uses said byte serial communication link (Goldrian, col. 6, lines 3-14).

Page 7

9. As to dependent claim 6, Goldrian and Massa disclose wherein either said client or server performs processing of information in transferring said data (Abstract and col. 2, line 58 - col. 3, line 25 and col. 11, lines 23-47: a message request is transferred from the request area of the originator buffer (client buffer) to the request area of the recipient buffer (server buffer));

said processing is performed in an order convenient to both said client and server (Goldrian, col. 1, lines 16-23); and

said order is decoupled from an order of transferring said data (Goldrian, col. 1, lines 16-23 and col. 2, line 58 – col. 3, line 25).

10. As to dependent claim 7, Goldrian and Massa disclose wherein transferring said data is responsive to control information in a request or a response for said data transfer (Massa, col. 11, lines 21-31 and col. 12, lines 13-17: the data message includes information to indicate the size of the data to be transferred. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Massa and Goldrian to include a request or a response for said data transfer includes at least some control information and said steps of sending data are responsive to said control information. The motivation for doing so is to provide higher

performance and to maximize the communication bandwidth and minimize the communication latency observed by the communicating applications).

Page 8

- 11. As to dependent claim 8, Goldrian and Massa disclose wherein transferring said data is responsive to a request or a response for said data transfer (Goldrian, Abstract, col. 5, lines 12-16 and col. 7, lines 1-29).
- 12. As to dependent claim 10, Goldrian and Massa disclose wherein said one or more data buffers also is selected responsive to control information in a request or a response for transferring said data (Massa discloses a data transfer between two applications or devices (each is considered as a client and the other is a server) (Abstract, col. 11, lines 10-20 and Fig. 5). In addition, Massa discloses each application's set of receiving buffers may also be large or small (plural data buffers of different sizes in the client and the server) (col. 11, lines 31-53). Also, Massa discloses the remote switch 126 of the server transfers an amount of data equal to the size of the receiving buffer 134 (client's buffer) from the transmission buffer 138 (server's buffer) into the set of receiving buffers 134 (col. 12, lines 42-59). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Massa and Goldrian to include plural data buffers of different sizes in said client and at least one of plural data buffers of different sizes in said server, and selecting a send data buffer and a receive data buffer from among the plural data buffers in said client and the plural data buffers in said server, said send data buffer and

said receive data buffer matched to a size of data blocks to be transferred into or out of those data buffers and then transferring said data. The motivation for doing so is to provide higher performance and to maximize the communication bandwidth and minimize the communication latency observed by the communicating applications).

- 13. Claims 12-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goldrian et al. (Goldrian), U.S. Patent No. 6,026,448, Brock et al. (Brock), US Patent No. 6,499,028 and further in view of Massa et al. (Massa), US. Patent No. 6,658,469.
- 14. As to independent claims 12, 21, and 25-26, Goldrian discloses a system including a server, said server having a memory including a client communication region and data transfer region, said data transfer region having plural data buffers (Abstract and col. 2, line 58 col. 3, line 25 and col. 11, lines 23-47);

a remote DMA communication link coupled to said data transfer region (Goldrian, Abstract, and col. 8, line 40 – col. 9, line 49);

wherein said client communication region includes information regarding a data transfer into or out of said data transfer region (Goldrian, Abstract, and col. 8, line 40 – col. 9, line 49);

However, Goldrian does not explicitly disclose data buffers of different sizes for data transfers to and from a client, at least some of said data buffers matched to different sizes of data blocks to be transferred into or out of those data buffers and matched to different sizes of data buffers in said client that are also matched to said

Application/Control Number: 09/590,027

Art Unit: 2176

different sizes of said data blocks to be transferred; and wherein one or more of said server data buffers is selected for a data transfer responsive to a size of data block for said transfer. Brock discloses a computer system includes a local node is connected with one or more remote nodes; the computer system contemplates a non-uniform memory architecture (NUMA) which performs incoming transactions and outgoing transactions between the local node and the remote nodes (Fig. 1, col. 6, line 37 – col. 7, line 31). Brock also discloses physical address space includes a plurality of memory region, and each is divided into a plurality of memory blocks, and data transaction matched in the corresponding region or memory block sizes (col. 3, lines 40-67 and col. 11, line 35 – col. 12, line 56). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Brock and Goldrian to include plural data buffers of different sizes, at least some of said data buffers matched to sizes of data blocks to be transferred into or out of those data buffers; and wherein said step of sending selects one or more of said data buffers fro a data transfer responsive to a size of data blocks for said data transfer. Due to variations in memory architecture implementation, page mechanism, caching policies, tuning or optimizing of any given NUMA system is most efficiently achieved with empirically gathered memory transaction data.

However, Goldrian and Brock do not explicitly disclose at least some of data buffers both in said client and in said server matched to sizes of data blocks. Massa discloses a data transfer between two applications 132 (client) and 136 (server), the client includes a switch 120 which associates a set of receive buffers 124 and send

buffers 140 with the connection and sends a message 123 to the remote switch 126 of the server (col. 11, lines 10-20 and Fig. 5). Massa also discloses in col. 12, lines 1-59 and Fig. 6: the switch 126 in application 136 (server) sends an initial message, which includes information to indicate the size of the data to be transferred, to switch 120 in application 132 (client), then the switch 120 determines if the size of the receive buffers 134 in the client is large enough, and if it is then the switch 126 transfers an amount of data equal to the size of the receive buffers 134, and the switch 126 continues to transfer data into the receiving buffers 134 until all of the data is transferred. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Massa and Goldrian and Brock to include at least some of data buffers both in said client and in said server matched to sizes of data blocks to provide higher performance and to maximize the communication bandwidth and minimize the communication latency observed by the communicating applications.

- 15. As to dependent claim 13, Goldrian, Brock and Massa disclose a byte serial communication link coupled to said client communication region (Goldrian, col. 6, lines 3-14).
- 16. As to dependent claim 14, Goldrian, Brock and Massa disclose a processing element is said server coupled to said data transfer region, said processing element responsive to a request from a client or a response to a client (Goldrian, col. 9, line 64 col. 10, line 5).

17. As to dependent claim 15, Goldrian, Brock and Massa disclose a processing element in said server coupled to said data transfer region, said processing element responsive to control information in said client communication region (Goldrian, col. 9, line 64 - col. 10, line 5).

- 18. As to dependent claims 16 and 22-23, Goldrian, Brock and Massa disclose a processing element in said server coupled to said data transfer region, said processing element using information if said data transfer region independently of said remote DMA communication link (Goldrian, col. 4, lines 1-26 and col. 9, line 64 col. 10, line 5).
- 19. As to dependent claim 17, Goldrian, Brock and Massa disclose a request from a client or a response to said client having information regarding a location within data transfer region (Massa, col. 12, lines 42-59: the local switch 120 of client 132 sends a message to the remote switch of server 136, and the message includes the location of the client's buffers) and said steps of sending data are responsive to said memory address, wherein said data is read from or written to a memory in response to said memory address (Massa, col. 12, lines 42-59: the remote switch of server 136 transfers an amount of data equal to the size of the client's buffer from the set of transmission buffers 138 into the set of client's buffer 134. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Massa and Goldrian and Brock to include at least some of data buffers both in said

Art Unit: 2176

client and in said server matched to sizes of data blocks to provide higher performance and to maximize the communication bandwidth and minimize the communication latency observed by the communicating applications).

- 20. As to dependent claim 18, Goldrian, Brock and Massa disclose wherein said client communication region stores a request from a client or a response to said client (Goldrian, col. 7, lines 1-29).
- 21. As to dependent claim 19, Goldrian, Brock and Massa disclose wherein said data transfer region stores a data transfer to or from a client (Goldrian, Abstract, and col. 2, lines 26-57).
- 22. As to dependent claim 20, Goldrian, Brock and Massa disclose wherein said remote DMA communication link includes a NUMA communication link (Goldrian, col. 4, lines 1-19 and col. 7, lines 15-29).
- 23. As to dependent claim 24, Goldrian, Brock and Massa disclose wherein said client includes a database server (Goldrian, col. 4, lines 1-19).

Response of Arguments

In the remarks, Applicant(s) argued in substance that

A. Prior art does not teach "selecting a send data buffer and a receive data buffer from

among the plural data buffers in said client and the plural data buffers in said server,

said send data buffers and receive data buffer matched to a size of data blocks to be

transferred into or out of those data buffers."

In reply to argument A, Massa discloses a data transfer between two applications

or devices (each is considered as a client and the other is a server) (Abstract, col. 11,

lines 10-20 and Fig. 5), each application's set of receiving buffers may also be large or

small (Massa, col. 11, lines 31-53) is considered as plural data buffers of different sizes

in the client and the server. In addition, Massa discloses in col. 12, lines 42-59 that the

remote switch 126 of the server transfers an amount of data equal to the size of the

receiving buffer 134 (client's buffer) from the transmission buffer 138 (server's buffer)

into the set of receiving buffers 134.

B. Prior art does not teach "at least one of plural data buffers of different sizes in said

client and at least one of plural data buffers of different sizes in said server."

Art Unit: 2176

In reply to argument B, Massa discloses a data transfer between two applications or devices (each is considered as a client and the other is a server) (Abstract, col. 11, lines 10-20 and Fig. 5). In addition, Massa discloses each application's set of receiving buffers may also be large or small (plural data buffers of different sizes in the client and the server) (col. 11, lines 31-53).

24. Applicant's arguments filed 06/06/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Please the rejection and response to arguments above.

Art Unit: 2176

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Chau Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-

4092. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 am to 5:30

pm.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's

supervisor, Heather Herndon, can be reached at (571) 272-4136.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is

assigned is 703-872-9306. On July 15, 2005, the Central Facsimile (FAX) Number will

change from 703-872-9306 to 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Chau Nguyen
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 2176

WILLIAM BASHORE
PRIMARY EXAMINER

8/16/2505